Plasma Systems and Coherence Systems

A Stability-Class Framework for Advanced Field Propulsion and Craft Behavior

Author: Joel Michael Lesperance

Platform: Zenodo

Abstract

Advanced propulsion and field-based craft are frequently grouped together under a single category of exotic or unconventional technology. This paper introduces a clarity framework that distinguishes two fundamentally different stability classes: plasma-based systems and coherence-based systems. Plasma systems achieve functionality through dynamic regulation of energetic fields, while coherence systems operate through intrinsic phase alignment and geometric congruence. By examining stability behavior, transition dynamics, environmental coupling, and curvature interaction, this paper provides a unified but discriminating language for understanding how advanced craft achieve translation, control, and persistence. The distinction resolves longstanding observational inconsistencies and establishes a foundation for detection, classification, and engineering analysis.

---

1. Stability as the Primary Classifier

All advanced field-based systems can be classified by how stability is achieved.

Stability is not an outcome; it is a governing principle.

Two dominant stability classes emerge naturally:

- Regulated Stability (Plasma Systems)
- Intrinsic Stability (Coherence Systems)

These classes differ in geometry, phase behavior, environmental interaction, and transition signatures.

---

2. Plasma-Based Systems

Plasma systems operate through active stability management.

Plasma exists as ionized matter in collective motion, governed by nonlinear electromagnetic interactions. All known plasma architectures rely on continuous regulation to maintain usable structure.

Plasma systems achieve stability through:

- magnetic confinement
- electric field shaping
- feedback loops
- real-time phase correction
- boundary damping

Functionality emerges through continuous control.

Observable characteristics include:

- sensitivity to environmental perturbations
- measurable electromagnetic noise
- localized ionization effects
- energetic signatures during state transitions
- operational envelopes defined by control bandwidth

Plasma systems succeed by managing instability.

---

3. Coherence-Based Systems

Coherence systems operate through intrinsic phase alignment.

In coherent architectures, geometry, phase, and field structure align into a unified configuration that remains stable without corrective feedback.

Key properties include:

- geometry-field unity
- phase continuity across the system
- global redistribution of perturbations
- equilibrium maintained through configuration

Stability exists as a structural property rather than a managed condition.

Observable characteristics include:

- minimal electromagnetic noise
- smooth translation across environments
- persistent equilibrium under perturbation
- continuity during entry and exit events

Coherence systems remove instability from the design space.

---

4. Curvature Matching and Translation

Translation arises from curvature alignment, not force.

When a system's internal electromagnetic geometry mirrors external spacetime curvature, net curvature resolves to zero in the local frame.

Motion expresses as reference translation rather than acceleration.

Operational characteristics include:

- inertial decoupling
- non-Newtonian trajectories
- silent movement
- reduced interaction with surrounding media

Plasma systems approximate this condition dynamically.

Coherence systems inhabit it structurally.

---

5. Transition Regimes and Observational Signatures

The clearest distinctions appear during transition states.

Plasma Transitions

- EM field reconfiguration
- localized ionization
- detectable environmental coupling
- transient biological or electronic interference

Coherence Transitions

- phase continuity across boundaries
- minimal environmental disturbance
- stable entry and exit
- smooth domain coupling

Shared external geometry can obscure internal differences, while transition behavior reveals the stability class.

---

6. Implications for Classification and Detection

This stability-class framework:

- explains inconsistent observational reports
- clarifies why some craft appear mechanically simple
- provides a basis for sensor differentiation
- unifies plasma and coherence models without conflation

Advanced systems differ not by appearance, but by how stability is achieved.

Conclusion

Plasma systems and coherence systems represent distinct operational regimes.

Plasma technology demonstrates mastery of dynamic field regulation.

Coherence technology demonstrates equilibrium through geometric phase alignment.

This distinction reframes propulsion, craft behavior, and spacetime interaction in a unified and testable framework.

Stability is the signature.

Geometry is the key.

Mathematical Appendix

Stability Classes, Phase Geometry, and Curvature Alignment

A. System State Definition

Let a system be represented by the state vector:

$$\mathbf{S}(t) = \{ \mathbf{E}(t), \mathbf{B}(t), \phi(t), \mathbf{G} \}$$

where:

- $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{B}$ are electromagnetic field components
- ϕ is phase distribution
- $\mathbf{G}$ represents geometric boundary conditions

B. Stability Classes

Regulated Stability (Plasma Class)

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}, t)$$

Stability emerges through continuous feedback.

Intrinsic Stability (Coherence Class)

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = 0 \quad \text{under phase translation}$$

Stability emerges from configuration invariance.

C. Phase Coherence Condition

$$\nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{G} = 0$$

Phase gradients align tangentially to geometry, closing circulation loops and preventing accumulation.

D. Curvature Matching

Let:

$$\kappa_{\text{ext}} = \text{external spacetime curvature}$$

$$\kappa_{\text{int}} = \text{internal field curvature}$$

Coherent translation occurs when:

$$\kappa_{\text{int}} = -\kappa_{\text{ext}}$$

Resulting in:

$$\kappa_{\text{net}} = 0$$

E. Perturbation Response

Plasma Systems

$$\Delta E \rightarrow \Delta \phi_{\text{local}}$$

Coherence Systems

$$\Delta E \rightarrow \Delta \phi_{\text{global}}$$

F. Transition Operator

Let $\mathbf{T}$ represent a boundary crossing.

Plasma:

$$\mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \Delta \mathbf{E}, \Delta \mathbf{B}, \Delta \phi$$

Coherence:

$$\mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \phi'$$

G. Coherence Metric

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{\sigma_\phi}$$

High $\mathcal{C}$ corresponds to intrinsic stability.

Summary

- Plasma systems stabilize through regulation
- Coherence systems stabilize through geometry
- Translation arises from curvature congruence
- Stability emerges as a property of configuration

Expanded References

- Bohm, D. Wholeness and the Implicate Order
- Fröhlich, H. Coherent Excitations in Biological Systems
- Heald & Wharton, Plasma Diagnostics
- Tajmar, M. Advanced Propulsion Physics
- Penrose, R. The Road to Reality
- Wheeler, J.A. Geometrodynamics
- Puthoff, H. Zero-Point Field and Inertia
- Montagnier et al., Electromagnetic Signals in Biology
- Pokorný, J. Biological Coherence and EM Fields
- Ashcroft & Mermin, Solid State Physics
- Fridman & Kennedy, Plasma Physics and Engineering

- NASA Technical Reports on EM Field Interaction
- ESA Plasma Environment Studies
- MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center Publications
- IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science
- Journal of Applied Physics (Field Geometry Studies)